





Call for Transparent, Impartial and Pluralist Expert Assessment on health risks of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF)

Strasbourg, November 14, 2011

TO: Commissioner John DALLI, Health and Consumer Policy

CC: Commissioner Janez Potočnik, Environment
Commissioner Máire Geoghegan-Quinn, Research, Innovation and Science.

In the perspective of DG Sanco's scientific conference on Electromagnetic fields and Public Health, Nov 16-18, 2011, and IARC's classification of radiofrequency (RF) EMF as "Possibly Carcinogen" we, NGOs, MEPs and other public interest stakeholders state the following:

- We are writing to express our concerns over the narrow range of speakers and agenda items for the November 16-18 EU Commission conference on EMF, and over the lack of transparency and pluralism in the evaluation of evidence by SCENIHR and other EU risk assessment committees.
- We are concerned about the lack of transparency, impartiality and pluralism in the selection of:
 - o the members of the steering committee
 - o the experts represented at the conference
 - the agenda items

There is solid evidence, from their published work for example, that most speakers, as well as most members of the steering committee which appointed them, share the same opinion on EMF health risks and support the highly controversial "ICNIRP interpretation" of the evidence on the biological effects of non-ionizing radiation. This viewpoint is only one of several scientific judgments in the well-known controversy of EMF health risks. The November group is hence not intellectually impartial according to the Commission's own standards as set out on its successful submission on this issue to the WTO Appeal Court on the beef hormones case.

- We believe there is an effective risk that an imbalanced panel would ignore or play down the full range of plausible scientific and empirical evidence of health risks, including IARC's recent classification of RF fields as "possibly carcinogen". This would misguide the EU Commission, hinder the needed review of the Commission's recommendations, and postpone the implementation of cost-efficient preventive measures.¹

¹ "Late lessons from early warnings: the precautionary principle 1896–2000", European Environmental Agency, 2002. Download the report <u>here.</u>

- We agree when the Commission, on its Communication on the Precautionary Principle (2000), recommends that "the degree of scientific uncertainty should be presented correctly", and states that the precautionary principle is applicable before a risk has been "determined with sufficient certainty."
- We fear that the reputation and credibility of DG Sanco will suffer if the present unintentional unevenness is not adjusted. We are confident that you, Commissioner Dalli, agree that pluralism is necessary for rational decision-making, and that you are as attached to transparency, impartiality and democracy as we, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) and WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) are.²
- This is not an isolated case. Many EU risk assessment committee reports, despite some advice on handling uncertainties from EFSA Scientific Committee, do not provide a fully transparent, traceable account of the process for evaluating evidence and drawing conclusions. In some cases summaries of reports do not correctly reflect the evidence from underlying chapters something for which IPCC has also been criticized. We have noted the recent critique of IPCC by the global scientific authority, the Inter-Academy Council, for failing to be sufficiently transparent about scientific uncertainties and for failing to provide a "traceable account" of the process of evidence evaluation and concluding judgments and their justifications.
- Such advice has since been formally endorsed by the IPCC in its revised guidance to lead authors on handling uncertainty in the next IPPC report on climate change.

We therefore ask you to:

- 1. Assure that current and upcoming expert appointment processes are transparent and managed by a balanced steering committee.
- 2. Add time for a presentation of alternative scientific interpretations to the November conference.
- 3. Consider the citizens' view-points and experience as a complement to expert assessment. Consequently invite representatives from the International EMF Alliance (IEMFA) and other relevant public interest groups and assemblies to the upcoming conference and other EMF-related events.
- 4. Establish and publish a policy for risk assessment committees assuring pluralism and transparency and avoiding conflicts of interest and other bias. This guidance could be similar to that produced by IPCC.
- 5. Ensure high standard, pluralism and impartiality when selecting experts for particular reports or issues where intellectual or other bias is plausible.
- Organise a conference on EMF health risks where all main scientific factions are represented, including those who conclude there are risks and a need for prevention.

We thank your for your attention, and for considering our request.

Respectfully Yours,

.

² IARC has stated that an IARC Monograph demands "independence from all commercial interests and from advocates that might be perceived as advancing conceived position". This policy was welcomed by civil society representatives and made the participation of several controversial scientists impossible (e.g. Prof Ahlbom).

International EMF Alliance (IEMFA)

Sissel Halmøy, Folkets Strålevern, Norway, Chair Alex Swinkels, Netherlands, Board member Eileen O'Connor, EM Radiation Research Trust, UK, Board member Kerstin Stenberg, Priartem, France, Board member

IEMFA represents the following organisations:

• Alliance for Irish Radiation Protection Ireland American Association for Cell Phone Safety **USA** ASANACEM/EEKNE Spain Associació Oikos Ambiental Spain Association for Environmental and Chronic exposure Injury Italy Association for Electro Hypersensitive Norway Association for Electrosensitive in Finland Finland Association for the Protection of Health and the Environment Chile • Associazione Italiana Elettrosensibili Italy

AVAATF Spain Bio Electromagnetic Research Initiative

United Kingdom Cell Tower Dangers United States United States Center for Safer Wireless Citizens for Safe Technology Canada Citizens' Radiation Protection Norway California Brain Tumor Association **United States**

Defense Association of Health and Environment Chile Ecologists in Action (Ecologistas en Acción) Spain · EHS Association of Electrosensitives Denmark EKEUKO-COVACE Spain • Elektrosmog-Info Switzerland

Elettrosmog Volturino-onlus Italy

Electric Sense

United States Electrical Pollution Solutions United States Electrosensitive Society United Kingdom Electromagnetic Radiation Research Foundation South Africa Electromagnetic Safety Alliance **United States**

Electrohypersensitivity Foundation (Stichting EHS) Netherlands **Electromagnetic Fields Protection Alliance** Malaysia ElectromagneticHealth.org **United States EMFacts Consultancy** Australia **United States** EMF Refugee

EMR Australia Australia **EM Radiation Research Trust United Kingdom EMR Policy Institute United States Environmental Health Trust United States** ElectroSensitive Association (FEB) Sweden Escuela sin WIFI Spain **Green Warriors of Norway** Norway

Health Defense Organization Spain IGEF The International Society for electromagnetic research Germany Kitakamakurakeitaing Japan KompetenzInitiative Germany La Fundación Vivo Sano Spain Limit the Radiation (Beperk de Straling) Belgium

Mast Action UK **United Kingdom** Mast-Victims **United Kingdom** Mast Sanity **United Kingdom**

NEXT-up Organisation France National Platform on Radiation Risks Netherlands Our Children's Future (Vore Børns Fremtid) Denmark Pandora Foundation Germany

PRIARTÉM France Powerwatch **United Kingdom**

Radiation Education Canada

Cont. IEMFA supporting organisations

• Rete Elettrosmog free Italia Italy • Robin des Toits France • StopUMTS Netherlands • StralingsArmVlaanderen Belgium Teslabel Belgium • The People's Initiative Foundation **United States** • VGM Verein für Gesundheitsverträglichen Mobilfunk Lichtenstein • WaveBreaker (Vågbrytaren) Sweden • WEEP (Wireless Electrical and Electromagnetic Pollution) Canada

WiFi in schools
 Wirelesswatchblog
 WiredChild
 United Kingdom
 United Kingdom

International and pan-European NGOs

- Health and Environment Alliance, HEAL, (> 70 member organisations)
- Women in Europe for a Common Future, WECF (100 member organisations)
- Pesticide Action Network-Europe, PAN-Europe (31 member organisations)

Other Non Governmental Organisations and Coalitions

Other Non Governmental Organisations and Coalitions				
•	Réseau Environnement Santé (21 associations)	France		
•	Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland e.V.	Germany		
	(BUND)/ Friends of the Earth (<u>480 000</u> members)			
•	The Wireless Radiation Safety Council	Canada		
•	The Coalition to Stop Smart Meters,	Canada		
•	R.I.S.K. (Rebutting Industry Science with Knowledge)	Belgium		
•	Swedish Radiation Protection Foundation	Sweden		
•	Initiativ Liewensufank	Luxembourg		
•	British Society for Ecological Medicine	United Kingdom		
•	BUND Naturschutz in Bayern	Germany		
•	AKUT	Luxemburg		
•	Irish Doctors Environmental Association (IDEA)	Ireland		
•	Irish Electromagnetic Radiation Victims Network (IERVN)	Ireland		
•	Diagnose-Funk e.V.	Germany		
•	Diagnose-Funk e.V.	Switzerland		
•	The GalileO Project	USA		
•	C.H.A.S.M. (Coalition for Health / Against Smart Meters)	USA		
•	PACTS, People Against Cell Towers at Schools	USA		
•	A.P.P.L.E. Associazione Per la Prevenzione e Lotta	Italy		
	all'Elettrosmog			
•	Ezpitsua, Basque Country	Spain		
•	Ekologistak Martxan, Basque Country	Spain		
•	h.e.s.e project	Germany		
•	Elektrosmognews	Germany		
•	ASQUIFYDE	Spain		
•	PMI - Plattform Mobilfunk-Initiativen	Austria		

USA

USA

Canada

Members of the European Parliament (MEPs)

• The Santa Fe Alliance for Public Health & Safety

• Advisory Board Doctors for Safer Schools

Doctors W.A.R.N

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
•	Michèle RIVASI	Greens	France
•	Kriton ARSENIS	S&D	Greece
•	Frédérique RIES	ALDE	Belgium
•	Jo LEINEN	S&D	Germany
•	Isabelle DURANT,	Greens	Belgium
•	Guido MILANA	S&D	Italy

Cont. Members of the European Parliament

Satu HASSI

 Pavel POC S&D Czech Republic Liisa JAAKONSAARI S&D Finland Claudiu Ciprian TĂNĂSESCU S&D Romania Antonyia PARVANOVA ALDE Bulgaria Dr. Charles TANNOCK FCR **United Kingdom** Heide RÜHLE Greens Germany Michail Tremopoulos Greens Greece

Scientists and Medical doctors (IEMFA and others)

Dr. Adamantia Fragopoulou, BSc, MSc, PhD

Athens (Greece)

Prof. Dr. Alvaro Augusto Almeida de Salles, BSc, MSc, PhD

Dr. Andrew Goldsworthy, BSc, PhD

London (United Kingdom)

Greens

Finland

Anton Merkulov, MSc, MSc Moscow (Russia)

Barrie Trower, Bed Devon (United Kingdom)
Dr. Carl F. Blackman, PhD Raleigh (United States)

Prof. Dr. Ceferino Maestu Unturbe, MD, PhD Madrid (Spain)
Cindy Sage, MA Santa Barbara (US)

Prof. Dr. Devra Lee Davis, PhD, MPH Pittsburg (United States)

Prof. Dr. Dominique Belpomme, MD

Dr. Fredrik Söderqvist, DMedSc

Orebro (Sweden)

Prof. Dr. Elihu D. Richter, MD, MPH

Prof. Dr. Franz Adlkofer, MD

München (Germany)

Prof. Dr. Henry Lai, BSc, PhD

Seattle (United States)

Dr. Isaac Jamieson, PhD, DIC, RIBA, DipAAS, BSc

London (United Kingdom)

Prof. Dr. Rer. Nat. Lebrecht von Klitzing

L. Lloyd Morgan, BSc

Stockelsdorf (Germany)

Berkeley (United States)

Prof. Dr. Lukas H. Margaritis, PhD

Athens (Greece)

Prof. Dr. Nesrin Seyhan, PhD, MSc

Ankara (Turkey)

Dr. Magda Havas, BSc, PhD
Peterborough (Canada)
Prof. Dr. Martin Blanc, MD, PhD
New York (United States)
Prof. Dr. Olle Johansson, MD, PhD
Stockholm (Sweden)
Örjan Hallberg, MSc
Trångsund (Sweden)

Roger Coghill, MA Pontypool (United Kingdom)
Dr. Sam Milham, MD, MPH Washington (United States)

Prof. Dr. Stanislaw Szmigielski, MD, PhD Warsaw (Poland)
Dr. Stelios A. Zinelis, MD, BA, PhD Athens (Greece)

Dr. Sarah Starkey, BSc, MSc, PhD London (United Kingdom)
Dr. Rer. Nat. Ulrich Warnke Saarbrücken (Germany)

Prof. Dr. Yury Grigoriev, MD Moscow (Russia)
Dr. Zamir P. Shalita, MD, PhD Ramat Gan (Israel)

Prof. Livio Giuliani , ICEMS spokesperson Italy
Prof Dr. Lennart Hardell, MD, PhD Sweden
Prof. Dr. Klaus Buchner Germany
Dr. Ernesto Burgio, Pediatrician, President ISDE Scientific Office Italy

Dr. Ann Louise GittlemanUSADr. Anna ZuccheroItalyDr. Christine AschermannGermanyDr. Joachim MutterGermany

Dr. Annie J Sasco, MD, MPH, SM, DrPH, HDR,

Former IARC Unit Chief France

Cont. Scientists and Medical doctors (IEMFA and others)

Dr. Gerd Oberfeld Austria Dr. Kerry Crofton Canada Susan Foster, MSW USA Dr. Thor Teong Gee Malaysia Michael Carlberg, MSc Sweden Dr. Med. Wolf Bergmann Germany Dr. Environ. Med. Barbara Dohmen Germany Dr. Med. Markus Kern Germany Prof. Dr. Klaus Buchner Germany Dr. Carlos Sosa, MD Colombia Prof. Osmo Hänninen, (emeritus) Finland Finland Dr. Seppo Kinnunen, MD

Lawyers and other public interest stakeholders

Dr. Iur. Edvard Christian Schöpfer Austria Bernd Budzinski, Lawyer, judge (retired) Germany Antero Kassinen, Lawyer Finland Mats Dämvik, Lawyer Sweden Sven Leistikow, Lawyer Germany Johan Bonander: Clergyman, freelance journalist Sweden Mona Nilsson, Journalist, author Sweden B. Blake Levitt, author USA

Enclosures:

- 1. IEMFA's "General opinion on risk assessment".
- 2. « European Parliament resolution of 2 April 2009 on health concerns associated with electromagnetic fields » (2008/2211(INI). The Ries-resolution.
- 3. The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe's resolution on « The potential dangers of electromagnetic fields and their effect on the environment ». Resolution 1815.
- 4. "Health risks from mobile phone radiation why the experts disagree", European Environment Agency, October 12, 2011. EEA statement.

Contact persons:

Kerstin Stenberg, IEMFA <u>kerstin@international-emf-alliance.org</u>
Alex Swinkels, IEMFA <u>alex@international-emf-alliance.org</u>

IEMFA, c/o Stenberg, 25 rue Ste Odile, FR-67530 Boersch, FRANCE

