Call for Transparent, Impartial and Pluralist Expert Assessment on health risks of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF)

Strasbourg, November 14, 2011

TO: Commissioner John DALLI, Health and Consumer Policy
CC: Commissioner Janez Potočnik, Environment
    Commissioner Máire Geoghegan-Quinn, Research, Innovation and Science.

In the perspective of DG Sanco’s scientific conference on Electromagnetic fields and Public Health, Nov 16-18, 2011, and IARC’s classification of radiofrequency (RF) EMF as “Possibly Carcinogen” we, NGOs, MEPs and other public interest stakeholders state the following:

- We are writing to express our concerns over the narrow range of speakers and agenda items for the November 16-18 EU Commission conference on EMF, and over the lack of transparency and pluralism in the evaluation of evidence by SCENIHR and other EU risk assessment committees.

- We are concerned about the lack of transparency, impartiality and pluralism in the selection of:
  o the members of the steering committee
  o the experts represented at the conference
  o the agenda items

- There is solid evidence, from their published work for example, that most speakers, as well as most members of the steering committee which appointed them, share the same opinion on EMF health risks and support the highly controversial “ICNIRP interpretation” of the evidence on the biological effects of non-ionizing radiation. This viewpoint is only one of several scientific judgments in the well-known controversy of EMF health risks. The November group is hence not intellectually impartial according to the Commission’s own standards as set out on its successful submission on this issue to the WTO Appeal Court on the beef hormones case.

- We believe there is an effective risk that an imbalanced panel would ignore or play down the full range of plausible scientific and empirical evidence of health risks, including IARC’s recent classification of RF fields as “possibly carcinogen”. This would misguide the EU Commission, hinder the needed review of the Commission’s recommendations, and postpone the implementation of cost-efficient preventive measures.¹

We agree when the Commission, on its Communication on the Precautionary Principle (2000), recommends that “the degree of scientific uncertainty should be presented correctly”, and states that the precautionary principle is applicable before a risk has been “determined with sufficient certainty.”

We fear that the reputation and credibility of DG Sanco will suffer if the present unintentional unevenness is not adjusted. We are confident that you, Commissioner Dalli, agree that pluralism is necessary for rational decision-making, and that you are as attached to transparency, impartiality and democracy as we, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) and WHO’s International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) are.²

This is not an isolated case. Many EU risk assessment committee reports, despite some advice on handling uncertainties from EFSA Scientific Committee, do not provide a fully transparent, traceable account of the process for evaluating evidence and drawing conclusions. In some cases summaries of reports do not correctly reflect the evidence from underlying chapters something for which IPCC has also been criticized. We have noted the recent critique of IPCC by the global scientific authority, the Inter-Academy Council, for failing to be sufficiently transparent about scientific uncertainties and for failing to provide a “traceable account” of the process of evidence evaluation and concluding judgments and their justifications.

Such advice has since been formally endorsed by the IPCC in its revised guidance to lead authors on handling uncertainty in the next IPPC report on climate change.

We therefore ask you to:

1. Assure that current and upcoming expert appointment processes are transparent and managed by a balanced steering committee.
2. Add time for a presentation of alternative scientific interpretations to the November conference.
3. Consider the citizens’ view-points and experience as a complement to expert assessment. Consequently invite representatives from the International EMF Alliance (IEMFA) and other relevant public interest groups and assemblies to the upcoming conference and other EMF-related events.
4. Establish and publish a policy for risk assessment committees assuring pluralism and transparency and avoiding conflicts of interest and other bias. This guidance could be similar to that produced by IPCC.
5. Ensure high standard, pluralism and impartiality when selecting experts for particular reports or issues where intellectual or other bias is plausible.
6. Organise a conference on EMF health risks where all main scientific factions are represented, including those who conclude there are risks and a need for prevention.

We thank your for your attention, and for considering our request.

Respectfully Yours,

²IARC has stated that an IARC Monograph demands “independence from all commercial interests and from advocates that might be perceived as advancing conceived position”. This policy was welcomed by civil society representatives and made the participation of several controversial scientists impossible (e.g. Prof Ahlbom).
IEMFA represents the following organisations:

- Alliance for Irish Radiation Protection, Ireland
- American Association for Cell Phone Safety, USA
- ASANACEM/EKNE, Spain
- Associació Oikos Ambiental, Spain
- Association for Environmental and Chronic exposure Injury, Italy
- Association for Electro Hypersensitive, Norway
- Association for Electrosensitive in Finland, Finland
- Association for the Protection of Health and the Environment, Chile
- Associazione Italiana Elettrosensibili, Italy
- AVAAATE, Spain
- Bio Electromagnetic Research Initiative, United Kingdom
- Cell Tower Dangers, United States
- Center for Safer Wireless, United States
- Citizens for Safe Technology, Canada
- Citizens’ Radiation Protection, Norway
- California Brain Tumor Association, United States
- Defense Association of Health and Environment, Chile
- Ecologists in Action (Ecologistas en Acción), Spain
- EHS Association of Electrosensititives, Denmark
- EKEUKO-COVACE, Spain
- Elektrosmog-Info, Switzerland
- Elettrosmog Volturino-onlus, Italy
- Electric Sense, United States
- Electrical Pollution Solutions, United States
- Electrosensitive Society, United Kingdom
- Electromagnetic Radiation Research Foundation, South Africa
- Electromagnetic Safety Alliance, United States
- Electrohypersensitivity Foundation (Stichting EHS), Netherlands
- Electromagnetic Fields Protection Alliance, Malaysia
- ElectromagneticHealth.org, United States
- EMFacts Consultancy, Australia
- EMF Refugee, United States
- EMR Australia, Australia
- EM Radiation Research Trust, United Kingdom
- EMR Policy Institute, United States
- Environmental Health Trust, United States
- ElectroSensitive Association (FEB), Sweden
- Escuela sin WiFi, Spain
- Green Warriors of Norway, Norway
- Health Defense Organization, Spain
- IGEC The International Society for electromagnetic research, Germany
- Kitakamakurakeitaing, Japan
- KompetenInitiative, Germany
- La Fundación Vivo Sano, Spain
- Limit the Radiation (Beperk de Straling), Belgium
- Mast Action UK, United Kingdom
- Mast-Victims, United Kingdom
- Mast Sanity, United Kingdom
- NEXT-up Organisation, France
- National Platform on Radiation Risks, Netherlands
- Our Children’s Future (Vore Børns Fremtid), Denmark
- Pandora Foundation, Germany
- PRIARTéM, France
- Powerwatch, United Kingdom
- Radiation Education, Canada
### Cont. IEMFA supporting organisations

- Rete Elettrosmog free Italia  
  Italy  
- Robin des Toits  
  France  
- StopUMTS  
  Netherlands  
- StralingsArmVlaanderen  
  Belgium  
- Teslabel  
  Belgium  
- The People's Initiative Foundation  
  United States  
- VGM Verein für Gesundheitsverträglichen Mobilfunk  
  Lichtenstein  
- WaveBreaker (Vågbrytaren)  
  Sweden  
- WEEP (Wireless Electrical and Electromagnetic Pollution)  
  Canada  
- WiFi in schools  
  United Kingdom  
- Wirelesswatchblog  
  United States  
- WiredChild  
  United Kingdom  

### International and pan-European NGOs

- Health and Environment Alliance, HEAL, (> 70 member organisations)  
- Women in Europe for a Common Future, WECF (100 member organisations)  
- Pesticide Action Network-Europe, PAN-Europe (31 member organisations)  

### Other Non Governmental Organisations and Coalitions

- Réseau Environnement Santé (21 associations)  
  France  
- Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland e.V. (BUND)/ Friends of the Earth (480 000 members)  
  Germany  
- The Wireless Radiation Safety Council  
  Canada  
- The Coalition to Stop Smart Meters,  
  Canada  
- R.I.S.K. (Rebutting Industry Science with Knowledge)  
  Belgium  
- Swedish Radiation Protection Foundation  
  Sweden  
- Initiativ Liewensufank  
  Luxembourg  
- British Society for Ecological Medicine  
  United Kingdom  
- BUND Naturschutz in Bayern  
  Germany  
- AKUT  
  Luxemburg  
- Irish Doctors Environmental Association (IDEA)  
  Ireland  
- Irish Electromagnetic Radiation Victims Network (IERVN)  
  Ireland  
- Diagnose-Funk e.V.  
  Germany  
- Diagnose-Funk e.V.  
  Switzerland  
- The GalileO Project  
  USA  
- C.H.A.S.M. (Coalition for Health / Against Smart Meters)  
  USA  
- PACTS, People Against Cell Towers at Schools  
  USA  
- A.P.P.L.E. Associazione Per la Prevenzione e Lotta all'Elettrosmog  
  Italy  
- Ezpitsua, Basque Country  
  Spain  
- Ekologistak Martxan, Basque Country  
  Spain  
- h.e.s.e project  
  Germany  
- Elektrosmognews  
  Germany  
- ASQUIFYDE  
  Spain  
- PMI - Plattform Mobilfunk-Initiativen  
  Austria  
- The Santa Fe Alliance for Public Health & Safety  
  USA  
- Doctors W.A.R.N  
  USA  
- Advisory Board Doctors for Safer Schools  
  Canada  

### Members of the European Parliament (MEPs)

- Michèle RIVASI  
  Greens  
  France  
- Kriton ARSENIS  
  S&D  
  Greece  
- Frédérique RIES  
  ALDE  
  Belgium  
- Jo LEINEN  
  S&D  
  Germany  
- Isabelle DURANT,  
  Greens  
  Belgium  
- Guido MILANA  
  S&D  
  Italy
Cont. Members of the European Parliament

- Pavel POC  S&D  Czech Republic
- Liisa JAAKONSAARI  S&D  Finland
- Claudiu Ciprian TÂNĂȘEȘCU  S&D  Romania
- Antoniya PARVANOVA  ALDE  Bulgaria
- Dr. Charles TANNOCK  ECR  United Kingdom
- Heide RÜHLE  Greens  Germany
- Michail Tremopoulos  Greens  Greece
- Satu HASSI  Greens  Finland

Scientists and Medical doctors (IEMFA and others)

Dr. Adamantia Fragopoulou, BSc, MSc, PhD  Athens (Greece)
Prof. Dr. Alvaro Augusto Almeida de Salles, BSc, MSc, PhD  Porto Alegre (Brazil)
Dr. Andrew Goldsworthy, BSc, PhD  London (United Kingdom)
Anton Merkulov, MSc, MSc  Moscow (Russia)
Barrie Trower, Bed  Devon (United Kingdom)
Dr. Carl F. Blackman, PhD  Raleigh (Russia)
Prof. Dr. Ceferino Maestu Unturbe, MD, PhD  Madrid (Spain)
Cindy Sage, MA  Santa Barbara (US)
Prof. Dr. Devra Lee Davis, PhD, MPH  Pittsburg (United States)
Prof. Dr. Dominique Belpomme, MD  Paris (France)
Dr. Fredrik Söderqvist, DMedSc  Örebro (Sweden)
Prof. Dr. Elihu D. Richter, MD, MPH  Jerusalem (Israel)
Prof. Dr. Franz Adlkofer, MD  München (Germany)
Prof. Dr. Henry Lai, BSc, PhD  Seattle (United States)
Prof. Dr. Isaac Jamieson, PhD, DIC, RIBA, DipAAS, BSc  London (United Kingdom)
Prof. Dr. Rer. Nat. Lebrecht von Klitzing  Stockelsdorf (Germany)
L. Lloyd Morgan, BSc  Berkeley (United States)
Prof. Dr. Lukas H. Margaritis, PhD  Athens (Greece)
Prof. Dr. Nesrin Seyhan, PhD, MSc  Ankara (Turkey)
Dr. Magda Havas, BSc, PhD  Peterborough (Canada)
Prof. Dr. Martin Blanc, MD, PhD  New York (United States)
Prof. Dr. Olle Johansson, MD, PhD  Stockholm (Sweden)
Órjan Hallberg, MSc  Trångsund (Sweden)
Roger Coghill, MA  Pontypool (United Kingdom)
Dr. Sam Milham, MD, MPH  Washington (United States)
Prof. Dr. Stanislaw Szmigielski, MD, PhD  Warsaw (Poland)
Dr. Stelios A. Zinelis, MD, BA, PhD  Athens (Greece)
Dr. Sarah Starkey, BSc, MSc, PhD  London (United Kingdom)
Dr. Rer. Nat. Ulrich Warnke  Saarbrücken (Germany)
Prof. Dr. Yury Grigoriev, MD  Moscow (Russia)
Dr. Zamir P. Shalita, MD, PhD  Ramat Gan (Israel)
Prof. Livio Giuliani, ICEMS spokesperson  Italy
Prof Dr. Lennart Hardell, MD, PhD  Sweden
Prof. Dr. Klaus Buchner  Germany
Dr. Ernesto Burgio, Pediatrician, President ISDE Scientific Office  Italy
Dr. Ann Louise Gittleman  USA
Dr. Anna Zucchero  Italy
Dr. Christine Aschermann  Germany
Dr. Joachim Mutter  Germany
Dr. Annie J Sasco, MD, MPH, SM, DrPH, HDR, Former IARC Unit Chief  France
Cont. Scientists and Medical doctors (IEMFA and others)
Dr. Gerd Oberfeld Austria
Dr. Kerry Crofton Canada
Susan Foster, MSW USA
Dr. Thor Teong Gee Malaysia
Michael Carlberg, MSc Sweden
Dr. Med. Wolf Bergmann Germany
Dr. Environ. Med. Barbara Dohmen Germany
Dr. Med. Markus Kern Germany
Prof. Dr. Klaus Buchner Germany
Dr. Carlos Sosa, MD Colombia
Prof. Osmo Hänninen, (emeritus) Finland
Dr. Seppo Kinnunen, MD Finland

Lawyers and other public interest stakeholders
Dr. Iur. Edvard Christian Schöpfer Austria
Bernd Budzinski, Lawyer, judge (retired) Germany
Antero Kassinen, Lawyer Finland
Mats Dämvik, Lawyer Sweden
Sven Leistikow, Lawyer Germany
Johan Bonander: Clergyman, freelance journalist Sweden
Mona Nilsson, Journalist, author Sweden
B. Blake Levitt, author USA

Enclosures:
1. IEMFA’s “General opinion on risk assessment”.
3. The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe’s resolution on « The potential dangers of electromagnetic fields and their effect on the environment ». Resolution 1815.

Contact persons: Kerstin Stenberg, IEMFA kerstin@international-emf-alliance.org
Alex Swinkels, IEMFA alex@international-emf-alliance.org
IEMFA, c/o Stenberg, 25 rue Ste Odile, FR-67530 Boersch, FRANCE

www.iemfa.org